1 2	CAROL C. LAM United States Attorney STEVEN DE SALVO
3	Assistant United States Attorney California State Bar No. 199904 United States Attorney's Office FEB 2 2 2007
4	Federal Office Building  880 Front Street, Room 6293  CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHER OF CALIFORNIA
5	San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 557-7032
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
8	A D HINDD COLUMN COLUMN
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OTCROHID BEN'
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Magistrate Case No. 07MJ0220
12	Plaintiff, ) STIPULATION OF FACT AND JOINT
13	v. ) MOTION FOR RELEASE OF ) MATERIAL WITNESS(ES) AND
14	IVAN PULIDO-JAUREGUI (2),  ORDER THEREON
15	Defendant. (Pre-Indictment Fast-Track Program)
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED between the plaintiff, UNITED STATES
17	OF AMERICA, by and through its counsel, Carol C. Lam, United States Attorney, and
18	Steven De Salvo, Assistant United States Attorney, and defendant IVAN PULIDO-JAUREGUI, by
19	and through and with the advice and consent of defense counsel, William R. Burgener, Esq., that:
20	1. Defendant agrees to execute this stipulation on or before the first preliminary hearing
21	date and to participate in a full and complete inquiry by the Court into whether defendant knowingly,
22	intelligently and voluntarily entered into it. Defendant agrees further to waive indictment and plead
23	guilty to the pre-indictment information charging defendant with a non-mandatory minimum count
24	of Transportation of Aliens and Aiding and Abetting, in violation of 8 U.S.C. §1324(a)(1)(A)(ii)
25	and (v)(II).
26	
27	
28	
ŀ	SDD:m:2/0/07

- 2. Defendant acknowledges receipt of a plea agreement in this case and agrees to provide the signed, original plea agreement to the Government not later than five business days before the disposition date set by the Court.
- 3. Defendant agrees to plead guilty to the charge pursuant to the plea agreement on or before March 7, 2007.
- 4. The material witnesses, Isuaro Miguel-Navarro, Crispin Pascual-Cruz and Isai Martinez-Lopez, in this case:
  - a. Are aliens with no lawful right to enter or remain in the United States;
- b. Entered or attempted to enter the United States illegally on or about January 25, 2007;
- c. Were found in a vehicle driven by defendant near Jamul, California and that defendant knew or acted in reckless disregard of the fact that they were aliens with no lawful right to enter or remain in the United States;
- d. Were paying \$1,500-\$1,600 to others to be brought into the United States illegally and/or transported illegally to their destination therein; and,
- e. May be released and remanded immediately to the Department of Homeland Security for return to their country of origin.
- 5. After the material witnesses are ordered released by the Court pursuant to this stipulation and joint motion, if defendant does not plead guilty to the charge set forth above, for any reason, or thereafter withdraws his guilty plea to that charge, defendant agrees that in any proceeding, including, but not limited to, motion hearings, trial, sentencing, appeal or collateral attack, that:
- a. The stipulated facts set forth in paragraph 4 above shall be admitted as substantive evidence;
- b. The United States may elicit hearsay testimony from arresting agents regarding any statements made by the material witness(es) provided in discovery, and such testimony shall be admitted as substantive evidence under Fed. R. Evid. 804(b)(3) as statements against interest of (an) unavailable witness(es); and,

Stipulation of Fact and Joint Motion for Release of Material Witness(es) And Order Thereon in United States v. Ivan Pulido-Jauregui (2)

c. Understanding that under <u>Crawford v. Washington</u>, 124 S. Ct. 1354 (2004), "testimonial" hearsay statements are not admissible against a defendant unless defendant confronted and cross-examined the witness(es) who made the "testimonial" hearsay statements, defendant waives the right to confront and cross-examine the material witness(es) in this case.

6. By signing this stipulation and joint motion, defendant certifies that defendant has read it (or that it has been read to defendant in defendant's native language). Defendant certifies further that defendant has discussed the terms of this stipulation and joint motion with defense counsel and fully understands its meaning and effect.

Based on the foregoing, the parties jointly move the stipulation into evidence and for the immediate release and remand of the above-named material witness(es) to the Department of Homeland Security for return to their country of origin.

It is STIPULATED AND AGREED this date.

Respectfully submitted,
CAROL C. LAM
United States Attorney
a Chillian
STEVEN DE SALVO
Assistant United States Attorney
WILLIAM R. BURGENER
Defense Counsel for Ivan Pulido-Jauregui
Month 1-
IVAN PULLOO JAUREGUI
Defendant //

Brendadegra, 2/20107

Stipulation of Fact and Joint Motion for Release of Material Witness(es) And Order Thereon in United States v. Ivan Pulido-Jauregui (2)

## ORDER

Upon joint application and motion of the parties, and for good cause shown,

THE STIPULATION is admitted into evidence, and,

IT IS ORDERED that the above-named material witness(es) be released and remanded forthwith to the Department of Homeland Security for return to their country of origin.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: 2/22/07.

United States Magistrate Juage